

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,108	09/29/2003	Thomas R. Goecke	29006-2	2438
21130 75	590 10/19/2006		EXAM	INER
BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DOCKET CLERK			AHMAD, NASSER	
2300 BP TOWER 200 PUBLIC SQUARE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114		DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/674,108	GOECKE, THOMAS R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Nasser Ahmad	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a. cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims	ex pario Quayro, 1000 o	5. TI, 100 0.0.210.
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers	election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Irity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		f Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/674,108

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last. Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The withdrawl notice was mailed on 8/3/2006 and was based on the pre-Appeal Brief request made by the applicant on 6/26/2006.

#### Rejections Withdrawn

- 2. Claims 1- 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maurer (5839977) made in the last Office action of 3/23/2006 has been withdrawn in view of the request for pre-Appeal Brief filed on 6/26/2006.
- 3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guenther (6461715) made in the last Office action of 3/23/2006 has been withdrawn in view of the request for pre-Appeal Brief filed on 6/26/2006..
- 4. Claims 7,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maurer in view of Guenther made in the last Office action of 3/23/2006 has been withdrawn in view of the request for pre-Appeal Brief filed on 6/26/2006.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,108 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1- 6, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oace (2559990) in view of Hughart (6668501).

Oace relates to an adhesive tape comprising a polymer backing film of 4 to 20 mils thickness (col. 4, lines 21-22) and an adhesive layer contacting the backing film (col. 5, lines 44-47). The backing can be polyvinyl chloride (col. 4, lines 43-60). However, Oace fails to expressly teach that the backing film has a Shore A Hardness of 92-100. Hughart discloses an adhesive tape comprising a backing (36) of polymeric material such as polyvinyl chloride having Shore A Hardness of 92 and an adhesive layer attached thereto (col. 2, lines 38-45). Figures 1 and 3 shows the backing to be of substantially uniform thickness. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize Hughart's teaching of providing an adhesive tape backing of polyvinyl chloride having a Shore A Hardness of 92 in the invention of Oace with the motivation to provide for hardness imparted for structural strength to the tape. For claim 2, Hughart teaches a substrate (30) is attached to the outermost side of the adhesive layer.

Regarding claim 3, the presence of a textured surface on the backing is inherent of the backing to be able to bond to the adhesive layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,108

Art Unit: 1772

Claim 5 is disclosed in col. 7, lines 66-67, wherein pigments can be added to the backing layer.

For claim 6, the tape backing is of polyvinyl chloride material is well in the art to be transparent (col. 7, lines 43-44).

For claim 9, it would have been obvious optimization, based on routine experimentation, to provide the backing of Hughart to have Shore A Hardness of 93-97 for optimizing the hardness of said backing polymer layer.

8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oace in view of Guenther (6461715).

Oace, as discussed above fails to teach that the peel adhesion of the adhesive layer is greater than 2.0 lb/in width. Guenther relates to an adhesive tape comprising a polymer layer (11) having a thickness of 50-500 microns (col. 7, lines 19-25) and a first side of the polymer layer has a double-sided adhesive layer (12) because it has two sides of adhesive surface. As shown in figure-2, one side of the adhesive layer is in substantially continuous contact with the first side of the polymer layer. The adhesive tape can be a pressure sensitive adhesive tape, including rubber-based adhesive (col. 8, lines 36-40). The tape has a peel adhesion of at least 3.5 N/cm (col. 8, lines 10-16), which would include the claimed peel adhesion of greater than 2.0 lb/in width. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize guenther's teaching by providing the adhesive layer to have a peel adhesion of at least 3.5 N/cm, which

Application/Control Number: 10/674,108

Art Unit: 1772

includes the claimed range of "greater than 2.0 lb/in width, in the invention of Oace with the motivation to provide for improved peel adhesion.

The intended use phrases such as "for application", "to adhere", etc. have not been given any patentable weight because said phrases are not found to be of positive limitations

9. Claims 7,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oace in view of Hughart and Guenther

Oace and Hughart, as discussed above, fails to teach that the adhesive is a rubberized double-sided tape. Guenther, also discussed above, relates to a double-sided pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize Guenther's teaching of using a double-sided rubberized pressure sensitive adhesive tape in the invention of Maurer with the motivation to provide for enhancing its peel adhesion characteristics.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,108 Page 6

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nasser Ahmad 10/16/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. October 16, 2006.